

The best hydroponic medium you have never heard of

One of the most important choices in a soilless crop is the medium. Ideally, the media in a hydroponic crop should provide no nutrition but just act as support material for the plant. However, common media choices, such as coco coir and peat moss, are far from inert and their usage requires special modifications to the nutrient solutions in order to account for their specific chemical properties. In this post, I am going to talk about a great hydroponic medium choice that is fairly common in South American countries but rarely used in the United States or Canada.



Rice hulls, a key component of my favorite medium for soilless culture

Issues with existing media

The most commonly used hydroponic media types in the US are perlite, peat moss, coco coir, and rockwool. [Peat moss](#) tends to have higher than desirable water retention and acidifies

strongly through time. For this reason, it is usually amended with perlite – to increase aeration – and with dolomite/limestone in order to buffer the constant increase in pH within the root zone. To maximize its potential, you need to account for these amendments and the natural evolution of peat moss through time in your nutrient solution or you will tend to have calcium, magnesium, and nitrogen uptake issues. All of which are commonly observed by peat moss growers.

[Coco coir](#) has other problems. It contains large amounts of chloride, sodium and potassium. It also decomposes through time and, in doing so, exposes cation exchange sites that strongly bind elements like calcium, magnesium and manganese. For this reason, you often need to either pretreat the coir with calcium containing solutions or adjust your nutrient solution chemistry to account for the evolution of the potassium release and calcium capture through the crop cycle. The concentrations and ratios of heavy metals also need to be changed to account for the affinity of the cation exchange sites for these ions.

Rockwool has better chemical and physical stability but the environmental impact of its production is high ([1](#)). It is also hard to reuse and its physical properties are hard to tune since it is hard to mix with other media effectively. Perlite, another rocky medium, is easy to reuse and has low environmental impact, but it dries back too quickly, which increases the need for energy for irrigation and dramatically increases the amount of waste generated in open (drain-to-waste) hydroponic systems.

Rice hulls, the first component of a better medium

Over the past 40 years, rice hull – also known as rice husk – has become a medium of choice in many countries due to its wide availability as an agricultural waste product. It is made

primarily of silica structures supported by organic material, decomposes very slowly through time, and has very benign chemical properties. Rice hulls will not change pH through time, will slowly release bio-available silicon, and can be reused several times before they degrade. However, they usually contain insects and some rice, reason why sterilization of the media with hot water is usually required in order to avoid pest propagation and seedling death due to seed fermentation.

Another issue of rice hulls is their incredibly weak moisture retention. Rice husks are even worse than perlite at retaining water, reason why rice husks are commonly used as an amendment to increase aeration. A hydroponic crop using only rice husks as a medium is possible, provided that the crop is constantly irrigated to compensate for the very fast dry back period of the medium. This constant irrigation is achieved through drip systems.

Washed river sand, the perfect compliment

Given that rice hull is primarily made of silica and has excessively fast dry back, it would be ideally paired with a medium with similar chemical properties but opposite physical properties. River sand, which has exactly opposite physical properties and is also made primarily of silica, perfectly fits the bill. River sand has a very slow dry back. It is therefore hard to use on its own in hydroponics due to its tendency to cause waterlogging. However, when used in combination with rice husks, a medium with exceedingly tunable physical properties and very benign chemical properties appears.



River sand is chemically inert and provides a perfect complement to rice hulls poor water retention properties

To prepare this media, mix 50% rice hulls by volume with 50% river sand. Rice hulls can be purchased for a very low cost, [a 20 USD bag](#) will be enough to prepare 400L of the medium. River sand is even cheaper and can be bought at around 50 USD per ton retail but can be bought wholesale at much lower prices. The density of river sand is around 1587 kg/m³, meaning that it will take around 317 kg to get 200L of sand. This means that the cost per 400L of final medium will be around 16 USD, taking the total cost of 400L of medium to 46 USD. This can be more cost effective than either peat moss, perlite, rockwool, or coco coir. Especially if you take into account that the media can be reused across several crop cycles.

Treating the medium before use

This medium needs to be treated before use, as rice hulls can contain some amount of rice that can be detrimental to seedlings. To treat it, water it with tap or RO water 3 days

before use. This will ferment any of the remaining rice and the increase in temperature caused by this process will help get rid of insects and any pathogens present within the mix. Note that rice hulls are often parboiled, which means they have already been heated in boiling water, which will reduce the issue of pests.

Once this treatment is complete, you are ready to use the medium. You can also adjust the percentage of rice hulls and river sand in order to fit the particular dry back conditions you desire. More river sand will make the medium dry back slower, while more rice hulls will make the media dry back faster. This is similar to what happens when you mix perlite and coco or peat moss, with the advantage that river sand and rice hulls are much more chemically inert than these commonly used media types.

Conclusion

While not common in the US, mixes of rice hulls and river sand have been successfully used in hydroponic settings during the past 50 years in a wide variety of countries, especially South American ones. I have personally used them in both small and commercial-scale projects to grow from leafy greens to large flowering plants, with amazing results. This medium is chemically inert, very easy to tune, and has a low price point.

Had you heard of a mix of rice hulls and river sand as medium? Would this be cheaper than your current media choice? Let us know in the comments below!

How to make an organic hydroponic nutrient solution

Hydroponic nutrients are usually made with synthetic chemicals that come from industrial processes. While these chemicals are usually of a higher purity than those mined or obtained from animal or vegetable resources, it also means that these products contain no microbes or bio-stimulants and their origin implies they cannot be used in organically certified growing operations. Growers who want a more organic approach might still want to use hydroponic solutions, but traditional hydroponic fertilizers cannot be used due to the fact that they lack many of the traits desired in an organic fertilizer. In this post, I will show you how you can create a complete hydroponic solution from scratch using only OMRI-approved raw materials.



This seal is given to products that have been approved by the OMRI organization, which certifies which products can be used in organic culture

OMRI nutrient sources

A complete hydroponic solution should provide all substances that are necessary for plant growth. This means we need to

provide nitrogen, phosphorus, potassium, magnesium, calcium, sulfur, iron, zinc, boron, copper, molybdenum, and manganese. Furthermore, we need to ensure that all of these nutrients are provided in forms that are available for the plants. This means we need to find sources that contain all the elements we need and then create a process that makes all of these nutrients adequately bioavailable. The following are the nutrient sources that we will be using, all of them are OMRI listed:

Please note the amazon links below are referral links. This means that I get a small commission when you choose to buy the products through these links, at no extra cost to you.

- [Bark compost](#)
- [Solubor](#)
- [Copper Sulfate](#)
- [Corn Steep Liquor](#)
- [Ferti-Nitro Plus](#)
- [Iron Sulfate](#)
- [Magnesium Sulfate](#)
- [Manganese Sulfate](#)
- [Potassium Sulfate](#)
- [Seabird Guano](#)
- [Zinc Sulfate](#)

Mixing the solution

This solution cannot be created in a concentrated form. This means we will be preparing a solution that will be fed directly to plants. However, since many of the inputs contain a lot of insoluble materials – due to their origin – there will need to be a filtration process in the end. This filtration step is necessary if you want to avoid problems dealing with the clogging of irrigation lines, in case you want to feed this into a regular irrigation system. If you want to hand water directly, then you can avoid this

filtration step.

Since the solution is not concentrated, the amounts to be weighed can be small for some of the materials. For this reason, I advise you to prepare at least 100 gallons of solution, so that you don't require to weigh very small amounts of material. This will help keep the errors due to measurements low. To make this preparation you will need the following materials:

- A tank that can hold 100 gallons
- [A flow meter to measure water flow](#)
- [A scale that can weight +/-0.01g max 500g](#)
- [An air pump rated for at least 100 gallons of water](#)
- [Air stones to diffuse air](#)

To prepare the solution (100 gallons), follow these steps:

1. Add 50 gallons of water using the flow meter. Ideally use R0 water, but you can use tap water as well if that is not possible.
2. Weigh and add all the ingredients per the table below.
3. Add another 50 gallons of water using the flow meter.
4. Place the air pump inside the solution and switch it on.
5. Maintain constant aeration for at least 15 days. Do not use it before this time has passed.
6. After 15 days have passed, filter the solution to use in irrigation lines or use directly to hand water. Keep air flowing through the solution even after the 15 days have passed.
7. The solution might also become basic during this process, if necessary, you can bring the pH of the solution down with citric acid before watering plants.

Bark compost	190
Solubor	0.65
Copper sulfata	0.15

Corn Steep Liquor	330
Ferti-Nitro Plus	220
Iron Sulfate	4
Magnesium sulfate	190
Manganese Sulfate	1
Potassium Sulfate	136
Seabird Guano	265
Zinc Sulfate	0.10

Table of ingredients to weigh. Masses are in grams.

The reason for the long wait

Plants ideally require nitrate in order to grow, the above inputs do not contain nitrate in appreciable amounts but mainly organic nitrogen sources. In [this](#) and [this](#) previous posts, you can learn more about organic nitrogen and why it is not ideal to use this in an unprocessed manner in a hydroponic crop. When you irrigate with organic nitrogen, most of the nitrogen will go unused and significant time will need to pass in the root zone for it to become available. The organic nitrogen decomposition process can also destabilize the pH of the root zone, making it harder for plants to properly absorb nutrients. By carrying out this process outside of the root zone, we make it easier on the plants, as we feed a pre-digested solution that is rich in available nutrients and microbes. The Seabird Guano and Bark compost, both provide the microbe inoculations necessary for the nitrogen decomposition process to take place. Oxygen, which we continuously pump into the solution, is also key to this process. The CSL and the Ferti-Nitro Plus will provide the organic nitrogen sources that will be decomposed.

This solution also contains a significant amount of amino acids. Although most of these amino acids will be converted into more readily absorbable nitrate through the digestion

process, a small amount will be left undigested, which will lock onto the heavy metal ions. This will help prevent precipitation issues and provide the plant with organically derived chelates.

Also note that no specific molybdenum input is included. This is because it is present as an impurity in the corn steep liquor at a high enough concentration, so its explicit addition is not required.

Conclusion


The above solution should fully replace a traditional hydroponic solution, using only OMRI-approved materials. The final concentrations of nutrients should be spot on for the healthy development of most small and large plants. The solution will also contain a lot of microbes and bio-stimulants, which will also help plant growth. Of course, the final character of the solution will depend on the temperature of the digestion, the amount of aeration present, and the nature of the inputs used (as OMRI inputs have a significant amount of variability due to their sourcing). It might take a few tries to adjust this process to your particular conditions. Note that the above solution is intended to be used with soilless media that has not been amended, as it should provide all nutrients required for plant growth.

Did you prepare the above solution? Leave a comment telling us about your experience!

How to get more phosphorus in organic hydroponics

It is difficult to supply plants with readily available phosphorus because of the insolubility of many phosphorus compounds (2). Whenever orthophosphoric acid species are present in a solution, all the heavy metals, calcium, and magnesium form progressively insoluble phosphate salts as the pH increases (3). At high pH, all of the phosphate is expected to be precipitated as long as there are excess cations to form these insoluble salts. In this post, we are going to talk about how this problem exists mainly in organic hydroponics and how we can solve it by efficiently using organic sources of phosphorus.

Seabird Guano 0-11-0



Seabird guano, one of the few organic, high P, soluble sources for organic hydroponics

Phosphorus in traditional hydroponics

In hydroponic systems that are not organic, soluble phosphorus salts are used to provide the phosphorus necessary for plant growth. These salts are all synthetic and are therefore not allowed for use in organic crops. They are mainly mono potassium phosphate (MKP) and mono ammonium phosphate (MAP). At the concentrations generally used in hydroponics – 25-100 ppm of P – at a pH of 5.8-6.2 and in the presence of chelated heavy metals, the phosphorus all remains soluble and there are rarely problems with phosphorus availability that are directly related to the P concentration in solution. However, when trying to move to an organic hydroponic setup where we want to avoid the use of all these synthetic salts, we run into big problems with P availability.

Organic soluble phosphorus fertilizers

The first problem we find is that there are no organic sources that are equivalent to MAP or MKP. However, there are thankfully some highly soluble organic sources that contain significant amounts of P. Some guano sources are particularly high in P, especially [Seabird Guano](#) (0-11-0), while some vegetable sources like [corn steep liquor](#) (CSL) (7-8-6) can also have high phosphorus ([1](#), [9](#)).


However, these sources do not only contribute phosphorus but will also contribute a variety of different substances that need to be taken into account when considering them for use. In the case of CSL, very high lactate and organic nitrogen levels imply that you will need to prepare an appropriate compost tea to use this in a nutrient solution. I wrote a [blog post](#) about a paper that describes how to make such a preparation.

In the case of seabird guano, a lot of calcium is also provided (20%) so we also need to take this into account in our formulations. *Using 3g/gal of seabird guano will provide you with a solution that contains 38ppm of P and 158ppm of Ca, although not in exactly readily available form – as MKP would provide – it will become available much easier than insoluble phosphate amendments. Seabird guano applications should be enough to completely cover both the P and Ca requirements of most flowering plants. The seabird guano also includes a lot of microbial activity, which will reduce the oxygenation of the media when it is applied, reason why you need to be careful with the aeration properties of your media (as I mentioned in [this post](#)).*

These organic sources of P might also contain significant amounts of heavy metals. Seabird guano can be notable for having significant levels of cadmium ([4](#), [5](#)) so make sure you have a heavy metal test of the soluble P source you intend to use to ensure you're not adding significant amounts of heavy metals to your crops.

Insoluble organic phosphorus amendments

Besides these soluble organic phosphorus sources, we also have the possibility to use mineral amendments that can be directly incorporated into the media from the start. These sources offer us some additional advantages relative to the pH and nutrient stability through time, which are not offered by using the soluble solutions. The most common amendments available in this area are [rock phosphates](#) and [bone meal](#). Not all rock phosphates and bone meal sources are created the same though, rock phosphates mined across the world can differ in their carbonate content, which can greatly affect their solubility. *These amendments are generally used at around 60-120mL per gallon of soil.*



P uptake for different concentrations of citrate or oxalate.

Plants, however, will respond to low P in their root zone by releasing organic anions that can chelate metals and slowly dissolve these phosphates (6). Tests by adding organic acids directly do show that not all acids are the same and some are much more effective than others. In this article (7), the authors showed that oxalic acid was more effective than citric acid in making P available from a rock phosphate source. Malic acid, a very important organic acid for plants (8), can also be used for this purpose and is preferable to oxalic acid. This is because oxalic acid is not only toxic to humans but can also strongly precipitate metals like iron, which are also needed by plants.

From the literature, we can conclude that adding these acids ourselves in concentrations of around 1mM, can be a good way to help solubilize P contained in these rock phosphate amendments. Watering with a solution of citric or malic acid at 150mg/L (567mg/gal) can help free these rock phosphate amendments and contribute to plant absorption of both the phosphorus and the calcium that is bound with it. Alternatively, we can also use fulvic acid at 40mg/L to achieve a similar effect (10).

Conclusion

While there are no easy replacements for phosphorus in organic hydroponics, there are some satisfactory solutions. Soluble phosphorous sources like CSL and seabird guano can be used to provide large amounts of soluble P when required, while solid amendments like rock phosphate and bone meal can provide a sustained release of these nutrients with time, also increasing the pH stability of the media. While using only soluble sources can be the easiest initial transition from a purely hydroponic crop, it will also be harder to manage due to the effects on media pH that such applications might have. A combination of both approaches – soluble applications and amendments – can often be the most successful when implementing an organic hydroponic approach.

Why NFT is the best hydroponic system beginners should avoid

Nutrient Film Technique (NFT) is a hydroponic growing system that uses flat channels with nutrient solution flow – in the form of a thin film at the bottom of the channels – in order to grow plants. An NFT system will maintain maximum oxygen exposure to plant roots and a consistent nutrient supply, providing ideal conditions for plants. However, while NFT systems are extremely popular in large commercial operations, small scale growers and hobbyists rarely use them with the same success. Why is it that professionals like NFT systems so much, but yields decrease when small scale growers try it?



A commercial hydroponic NFT system

The fragility of NFT

The NFT setup provides an ideal set of conditions that is hard to maintain without significant effort. These systems demand control over a large variety of variables. This includes the flow of nutrient solution, the temperature of the air inside the channels, the chemistry of the solution and the sterilization of the nutrient solution. These are also all critical failure points for an NFT system. It is common for NFT setups to fail because of power failures, roots clogging channels, diseases spreading like wildfire, solutions becoming too hot or too cold, etc. The more things you have to control, the easier it is to fail to control one of those properly.

Commercial growers will generally have a lot of people and resources devoted to the monitoring of all these conditions and adequate standard operation procedures will generally be in place to address all these potential points of failure. Large growers often start from turn-key solutions with already well established expectations for issues and their solutions, something that small growers generally lack. By design, NFT requires a lot of planning for contingencies, small growers and amateur growers don't do this as well as large companies.

Decision skills

One of the most critical aspects of NFT systems is that the time between decisions and consequences is quite fast. If roots grow to the point where a channel is being significantly obstructed and a grower does not realize there is a problem and acts fast, then the crop will be very negatively affected. In one crop I consulted with, a 24 hour delay in noticing the start of a fungal disease, generate a massive loss of plants in the crop. The solution was not being adequately sterilized

in recirculation, which was a huge oversight and failure point for the crop. Thankfully, this grower was producing lettuce – which is easier to recover from as the crop cycle is short – but this can be devastating for a flowering plant grower, where crop cycles are much longer.



NFT rapidly spreads disease across plants. Taken from [this paper](#).

This ability to find problems fast and solve them quickly requires a lot of focus and attention. Small scale growers are generally distracted by many other aspects of the crop, from financials and distribution in small scale commercial operations, to just regular life and normal jobs in family setups. For this reason, these problems generally go unattended in these crops, which leads to problems from lower yields, to total crop failure.

A lot of small problems

Perhaps most insidious, is the fact that many problems in an NFT setup can go completely unnoticed during a crop cycle, eating at yields before they are apparent. While commercial growers will have expectations set by consultants and system builders, the small scale grower will have no idea that certain things need to be looked at within a crop cycle.

For example, channel length can be critical in NFT setups, as plants that receive the feed at the start of a channel can deplete a solution from key nutrients by the time it reaches the end of the channel. This issue can go on through an entire crop cycle without the grower ever noticing anything except reduced yields. This might lead a grower to think that the NFT system is somehow leading to lower yields, while it is their particular implementation of NFT and not NFT as a whole that leads to worse results.

Small scale growers tend to have less time and resources, so they will tend to ignore problems that are not very obvious. The sum of all these problems will tend to cause a substantial erosion of yields. In my experience, small scale growers will, on average, achieve much better results with systems that are more forgiving than with a potentially more productive but substantially more complicated setup.



Plants in NFT setups can grow huge roots that can easily clog drains or prevent proper flow across the channel. Trimming roots when this happens is fundamental for system survival.

Why large scale growers use it

You might be thinking, why do commercial growers bother with NFT then? If it is so complex and prone to failure, then why in the world would you choose a system like this? The answer, is that NFT can be a very high yielding, low cost and reproducible alternative at a medium to large commercial scale. It avoids one huge cost – which is the purchase and labor costs associated with media – and focuses all energy into the production of plants. An NFT crop is also much more efficient from a water and fertilizer usage perspective ([1](#)). This means that, for a large scale commercial grower, dealing with the complexities of an NFT system is preferable to dealing with the additional costs, labor and inefficiencies of a media based system. Having to handle way less nutrient solution volume, no media and getting basically the same or superior yield, is a no-brainer for commercial growers.

A medium to large scale greenhouse will have people dedicated to growing, whose main job will be to monitor the crop and ensure that it is performing as specified by the manufacturer. With more than 70 years of experience in the setup of hydroponic crops, many companies offer turn-key solutions that have clearly set management procedures and outcomes for

several different plant species. This is especially true for leafy greens, cucumbers, tomatoes, peppers and strawberries, all very commonly produced using NFT systems.

What should the little guy do then

For commercial growers, the benefits of NFT often overcome its disadvantages. However, for the small grower looking for more reliable production of crops, even if it means at lower fertilizer and water use efficiency, it often doesn't make sense to go with NFT setups. For small growers who want to avoid media, deep water culture (DWC) offers an easier and more reliable alternative. For those wanting to grow with lower starting costs, open media-based systems give the best success rates, even if this implies significantly lower efficiency from almost all points of view.

If this is your first try at hydroponics or if you want to go with a small scale commercial setup, my advice would be to go with a system that is more forgiving and that you have the time and skill level to properly manage. Once you master these systems, you can try NFT, but bear in mind that your initial results might be worse than what you were doing before, just because the level of skill and knowledge required to successfully manage an NFT setup is substantially higher.

Organic nitrogen in hydroponics, the proven way

Nitrogen is a critical nutrient for plants. In hydroponics, we can choose to provide it in three ways, as nitrate, as ammonium or as organic nitrogen. This last choice is the most

complex one. It contains all possible nitrogen-containing organic molecules produced by organisms, such as proteins and nucleic acids. Since nitrate and ammonium are simple molecules, we know how plants react to them, but given that organic nitrogen can be more complicated, its interactions and effects on plants can be substantially harder to understand. In this post, we will take an evidence-based look at organic nitrogen, how it interacts in a hydroponic crop and how there is a proven way to use organic nitrogen to obtain great results in our hydroponic setups.



An organic nitrogen source, product of corn fermentation, rich in protein and humic acids

Nitrogen uptake by plants

The main issue with organic nitrogen is its complexity. Plants will mainly uptake nitrogen as nitrate (NO_3^-) and will also readily uptake nitrogen as ammonium (NH_4^+) to supplement some of their nitrogen intake. However, organic nitrogen is made up of larger, more complex molecules, reason why its uptake is more complicated. Various studies have looked into whether plants can actually uptake organic nitrogen directly at all

([1](#), [2](#)). They have found that while some uptake is possible, it is unlikely to be the main contributor to a plant's nitrogen uptake. While plants might be able to uptake this organic nitrogen to some extent, especially if it is comprised of smaller molecules ([3](#), [6](#)), it is unlikely that this nitrogen will be able to replace the main absorption pathway for nitrogen in plants, which is inorganic nitrate.

Effects of organic nitrogen in hydroponics

Many researchers have tried to figure out what the effect of organic nitrogen is in hydroponics. This study ([4](#)), looked at the effect of various organic nitrogen sources in the cultivation of lettuce. The study tried to measure how these fertilizers compared against a complete Hoagland solution. The results show that the organic nitrogen sources were unable to successfully compete with the standard mineral nutrition. The best result was obtained with blood meal, with less than half of the yield obtained from the Hoagland solution. It is clear that this study is not fair, as using organic nitrogen sources as the sole source of nutrition means more deficiencies than simply nitrogen might be present, but it does highlight some of the challenges of using organic nitrogen in hydroponics.

Another study ([5](#)), performed a more direct comparison of various different nitrogen sources, changing only the nitrogen source between nitrate, ammonium, and organic nitrogen in the cultivation of tomatoes. Organic nitrogen performed the worst across most measurements in the study. This showed that organic nitrogen is, by itself, not a suitable form of nitrogen for plant absorption and is unable to replace the nutrition provided by a synthetic inorganic nitrate source. This is especially the case when the organic nitrogen comes from more complex sources.




Figure 10: Compares the mean values for dried lettuce biomass of the four different treatment types.

Taken from [this thesis](#).

How to solve these issues

As we've seen, the main problem with organic nitrogen is that plants cannot uptake it efficiently. However, the nitrogen cycle provides us with mechanisms to convert organic nitrogen into mineral nitrate which plants can readily metabolize. The best way to achieve this is to prepare compost teas using the organic nitrogen source to create a nutrient solution that is better suited for plants. The use of nitrifying organisms provides the best path to do this. These organisms are present in a variety of potting soils and composts, but [can also be bought](#) and used directly.

This study ([7](#)) showed how using goat manure coupled with nitrifying bacteria was a viable path to generate a nutrient solution suitable for plant growth. Another study ([8](#)), also using manure, confirms that viable nutrient solutions can be created and used to grow crops successfully when compared to hydroponic controls. Manure, as an animal waste product, contains a lot of the macro and micronutrients necessary for plant growth, providing an ideal feedstock for the creation of a full replacement for a nutrient solution.

Another interesting study ([9](#)) uses vegetable sources in order

to study the creation of such solutions. I recently used this study to create [a detailed post](#) about how to create a nitrate-rich compost tea for use in hydroponics starting from corn steep liquor and bark compost as inputs.

In conclusion


Organic nitrogen sources, by themselves, are not suitable as the main source of nitrogen for plant growth. This is especially true of very complex nitrogen sources, such as those contained in blood meal, corn steep liquor and fish emulsions. However, we can take advantage of nitrifying bacteria and use these inputs to create nitrate-rich solutions that can be used to effectively grow plants. *This is a proven solution that has been tried and tested in multiple studies and in nature for hundreds of thousands of years.* Instead of attempting to use organic nitrogen sources either directly in the hydroponic solution or as media amendments, create compost teas with them that contain readily available mineral nitrate instead.

Do you use organic nitrogen in hydroponics? What is your experience?

Aquaponics vs hydroponics, which is best and why?

In hydroponic culture, plants are grown with the help of a nutrient solution that contains all the substances required for plant growth. In these systems, the nutrient solution is prepared using externally sourced chemicals, which [can be of a synthetic or natural origin](#). On the other hand, in aquaponics,

a plant growing system is coupled with an aquaculture system – a system that raises fish – so that the plants feed on the waste coming from the fish. In theory, aquaponics offers the benefits of a simplified, closed system with an additional upside – the ability to produce fish – while a hydroponic system requires a lot of additional and more complicated inputs. Through this post, we will use the current peer-reviewed literature to take a deep look into aquaponics vs hydroponics, what are the advantages and disadvantages and why one might be better than the other. A lot of the information below has been taken from this 2019 review on aquaponics (9).



Basic process diagram of an aquaponic setup (from [here](#))

Complexity

An aquaponic system might seem simpler than a hydroponic system. After all, it is all about feeding fish regular fish food and then feeding the waste products to plants. However, it is actually not that simple, since there are substantial differences between the waste products of fish and the nutritional needs of plants. One of the most critical ones is nitrogen.

This element is excreted by fish in its ammoniacal form but plants require nitrogen in its nitrate form. This means that you need to have a biofilter system containing bacteria that can turn one into the other. Furthermore, the chemical conditions ideal for nitrification are basic, while plants prefer solutions that are slightly acidic. This mismatch in the optimal conditions of one system compared to the other makes the management of an aquaponic system substantially more complicated than the management of a traditional hydroponic system ([1](#)).

Furthermore, plant macronutrients like Potassium and Calcium and micronutrients like Iron are often present at low levels in aquaponic solutions. Plants that have higher demands for these elements, such as large flowering plants or some herbs, might have important deficiencies and issues when grown in an aquaponic system ([2](#), [3](#)). This means that supplementation is often required in order to achieve success with these crops. Achieving ideal supplementation rates often requires chemical analysis in order to properly gauge the amounts of these elements that are required.

Additionally, aquaponic systems require additional area for fish and a lot of additional labor to manage the fish, the biofilters, and other sections of the facility that would not exist under a purely hydroponic paradigm. This article ([16](#)), better describes some of the economic and practical tradeoffs in terms of complexity when going from a hydroponic to an aquaponic facility.

Yield and quality

Given the above, it could be easy to think that yields and quality of products coming from aquaponics would be worse. However, the evidence points to the contrary. Multiple studies looking at aquaponics vs hydroponics quality and yields have shown that aquaponics products can be equivalent or often

superior to those produced in hydroponic environments ([4](#), [5](#), [6](#), [7](#), [8](#)). A variety of biological and chemical factors present in the aquaponic solution could offer bio-stimulating effects that are not found in traditional hydroponic solutions. For a detailed meta-analysis gathering data from a lot of different articles on aquaponics vs hydroponics see here ([14](#)).

The best results are often found with decoupled aquaponic systems. In these systems, the aquaponic system is treated as separate aquaculture and hydroponic systems. The nutrient solution is stored in a tank that is used by the hydroponic facility as its main feedstock to make nutrient solution. Its chemistry is then adjusted before it is fed to the hydroponic system.



An aquaponic setup growing leafy greens

Growing Systems

Traditionally, Nutrient Film Technique (NFT) systems have been preferred in commercial hydroponic culture due to their high yield and effectiveness. However, aquaponic systems do better with setups that can handle large levels of particulates, due to their presence in the aquaponic nutrient solution. For this reason, deep water culture (DWC) is the preferred method for growing in commercial hydroponic systems. This is also because dark leafy vegetables are the most commonly grown products in aquaponic setups and DWC setups are particularly well suited to grow this type of plants.

Sustainability

Aquaponic systems are, on average, more sustainable than hydroponic systems in terms of fertilizer usage. When comparing Nitrogen and Phosphorus usage between a hydroponic and an aquaponic crop, it seems to be clear that aquaponic

crops are much more efficient ([12](#)). An aquaponic crop can offer the same quality and yield with drastically lower fertilizer use and carbon dioxide emissions due to these facts ([13](#)).



The aquaponic closed system diagram, taken from [here](#)

The economics

Due to the poor nutritional characteristics of the aquaponic solutions for flowering plants, most aquaponic growers have resorted to the growing of leafy greens. A 2017 study ([10](#)) showed that profits from growing basil were more than double of those attained by growing Okra, due to the fact that basil could be grown with little additional supplementation while Okra required significant modification of the aquaponic solution to fit the plants' needs.

Due to the fact that large flowering plants require large amounts of mineral supplementation in order to be grown successfully in aquaponics, they are seldom grown in aquaponics setups. Since leafy greens eliminate the need for such supplementation, can be grown faster, and suffer from substantially less pest pressure, it is a no-brainer in most cases to grow leafy greens instead of a crop like tomatoes or peppers. However, high-value crops like cannabis might be attractive for aquaponics setups ([10](#), [11](#)).

Aquaponics often require economies of scale to become viable. The smallest scale aquaponic setups, like those proposed by FAO models, can offer food production capabilities to small groups of people, but suffer from a lack of economic viability when the cost of labor is taken into account ([12](#)). It is, therefore, the case that, to be as profitable as hydroponics, aquaponic facilities need to be implemented at a relatively large scale from the start, which limits their viability when

compared with hydroponic setups that can offer profitability at lower scales. As a matter of fact, this 2015 study ([15](#)) showed that most aquaponic farms were implemented at relatively small scales and had therefore low profitability values.

Nonetheless, aquaponics does offer a much more sustainable way to produce food relative to conventional hydroponic facilities and does offer economic advantages, especially in regions where low water and fertilizer usage are a priority ([14](#)).

Which one is best then?

It depends on what your priorities are. If you want to build a setup with few uncertainties that can deliver the most profit at the smallest scale, then hydroponics is the way to go. Aquaponic setups have additional complexities, uncertainties, needs of scale, and limitations that hydroponic crops do not have. Building a hydroponic commercial setup is a tried-and-tested process. Hydroponics offers predictable yields and quality for a wide variety of plant products. There is also a wide industry of people who can help you achieve this, often with turn-key solutions for particular plant species and climates.

On the other hand, if you want to build a setup that is highly sustainable, has as little impact as possible on the environment, has very low fertilizer and water use and can deliver the same or better quality as a hydroponic setup, then aquaponics is the road for you. Aquaponics has significantly lower impact – as it reduces the impact of both plant growing and fish raising – and can deliver adequate economic returns if the correct fish and plant species are chosen.

In the end, it is a matter of choosing which things are most important for you and most adequate for the circumstances you will be growing in. Sometimes, limited fertilizer and water availability, coupled with higher demand for fish, might

actually make an aquaponic setup the optimal economic choice versus a traditional hydroponic setup. However, most of the time a purely economic analysis would give the edge to a hydroponic facility.

If you are considering building an aquaponic system, a decoupled system that produces Tilapia and a deep water culture system producing dark leafy greens seems to be the most popular choice among commercial facilities.

Which do you think is better, aquaponics or hydroponics?

The ultimate EC to ppm chart and calculator

Electrical conductivity (EC) meters in hydroponics will generally give you different types of readings. All of these readings are conversions of the same measurement – the electrical conductivity of the solution – but growers will often only record one of them. The tools presented in this page will help you convert your old readings from one of these values to the other, so that you can compare with reference sources or with readings from a new meter. In this page you can figure out the scale of your meter, convert from ppm to EC and from EC to ppm.

The TDS reading of different meters will be done on different scales, so it is important to know the scale of your meter in order to perform these conversions. These scales are just different reference standards depending on whether your meter is comparing the conductivity of your solution to that of an NaCl, KCl or tap water standard. To learn more about how TDS scales work I would suggest you watch [my youtube video](#) on the

subject. To compare the readings from different meters, always compare the EC (mS/cm) reading, do not compare ppm readings unless you are sure they are in the same scale.



My go-to EC meter recommendation is the [Apera EC60](#)

To figure out the scale of the meter, measure the EC (mS/cm) and TDS (ppm) of the exact same solution with your meter. After this, input the values in the first calculator below. You can then use this scale value to convert between EC and ppm using the other two calculators below. If you already know the scale of your meter you can use the other two calculators and skip the first step. The meter scale will usually be 500, 600 or 700.

Figure out the Scale of the Meter

TDS (ppm) reading:

EC (mS/cm) reading:

Calculate

Meter scale:

Convert ppm to EC

TDS (ppm) reading:

Meter scale:

Calculate

EC in mS/cm:

Convert EC to ppm

EC reading mS/cm:

Meter scale:

Calculate

TDS (ppm) reading:

Create a table for reference

Meter scale:

Generate Table

If you would like to learn more about EC readings in hydroponics I would suggest reading the following posts on my blog:

- [Comparing the conductivity of two different solutions](#)
 - [Improving on HydroBuddy's theoretical conductivity model, the LMCv2](#)
 - [FAQ – Electrical Conductivity \(EC\) in Hydroponics](#)
-

Using electro-degradation to enhance yields in recirculating hydroponics

The efficient use of nutrient solutions is a very important topic in hydroponics. Although some commercial growers use run-to-waste systems where solutions are not recirculated, the economics of fertilizer use often demand re-circulation in order to enhance nutrient utilization and maximize growing efficiency. However one of the biggest problems found when circulating nutrient solution continuously is the build-up of plant exudates, which can be toxic and detrimental to plant growth.




Image taken from [this article](#)

Several solutions for this have been studied historically, most commonly the use of filtration systems – such as activated charcoal cartridges – to capture these exudates and prevent their accumulation. The problem with this approach is that activated carbon – or other filters – are not neutral to some of the components of nutrient solutions and might disproportionately and efficiently capture metal chelates and eventually cause nutrient deficiencies. There are some ways around this – such as changing the formulations or replenishing solutions after filtering – but both are far from ideal.

More recently [a paper](#) has been published showing how electro-degradation can actually alleviate this problem by destroying these exudates – which are commonly organic acids – in nutrient solutions. The paper talks about how they used this technique to treat recirculating solutions in strawberry, eliminating autotoxicity and increasing fruit yields substantially.

The technique is very simple, basically using either a DC or AC current passed through an electrode that the solution circulates through, destroying the problematic molecules in

the process. The first image in this post clearly shows how not renewing the solution causes important problems with yields that are completely removed by the use of the AC based electro degradation.

Table 1

Changes in mineral nutrients after application of electro-degradation of nutrient solution in no plant experiment. Electro-degradations were applied in 10 l of 25% standard “Enshi” nutrient solution with 400 $\mu\text{M L}^{-1}$ benzoic acid for 24 h. (Experiment II).

Electro-degradation	NO_3^- (ppm)	P_2O_5^- (ppm)	K^+ (ppm)	Ca^{2+} (ppm)	Mg^{2+} (ppm)	Fe^{3+} (ppm)
Control ^a	687	37.5	7.9	49.9 a ^d	16.2	3.5 a
DC-ED ^b	658	35.8	7.6	41.6 b	13.8	2.2 b
AC-ED ^c	669	37.5	7.2	52.6 a	15.4	3.4 a
Significance	NS	NS	NS		NS	

^a Electro-degradation was not applied.

^b Electro-degradation was applied using “Direct Current”.

^c Electro-degradation was applied using “Alternate Current”.

^d Means within a column followed by different letters are significantly different and NS indicate non-significant according to the Tukey's test at $P < 0.05$.

Image taken from [this article](#)

Another advantage of this technique is that – contrary to filtering techniques – there is little loss in the amount of nutrients in solution when performing the AC electro-degradation. Since the oxidation/reduction of the metal chelates used is highly reversible, the actual concentration of these elements in solution remains practically the same after treatment. You can see this in the image above, where there is no statistically significant change for the concentration of nutrients in solution.

The paper concludes suggesting a treatment of 24 hours (for 300L in the experiments) every three weeks, to completely recover from the exudates present in solution. For this AC application they used a frequency of 500Hz at 14V with an electrode area of around 53 square centimeters, made of titanium metal. For this process you need an inert metal or conductive material that will not react at the potential values used. You can buy titanium metal tubes – which are not expensive – to build an anode/cathode pair to carry out this


experiment. *Note that the frequency and voltage characteristics are vital so using a proper power supply to generate them is of the highest importance.*

The above technique is novel and easy to build for treating commercial hydroponic solutions. It is far easier and economic compared with filtering techniques and can be applied from smaller to larger scale growing operations.

A simple Arduino based sensor monitoring platform for Hydroponics

Last time I [posted about automation](#) I talked about how I use an Arduino to automate the monitoring and management of my home hydroponic system. Today I want to talk about how you can build an Arduino based station to monitor the most important variables of your hydroponic crop without having to solder anything, use complicated bread board setups or learn to how to do any coding. I will walk you through some of the steps to build the system, talk about the parts you need and show you the code you need to run to have this setup work.

—



—

A basic sensor monitoring application for hydroponics should be able to get the most critical information needed to grow a crop successfully. The basic variables you would want to monitor to achieve this goal would be: temperature, humidity, carbon dioxide concentration, pH and electrical conductivity. An Arduino micro-controller can help you achieve all these goals at a reduced cost when compared with commercially available monitoring solutions of the same quality.

—

- [Arduino UNO R3](#) – 23.90 USD
- [LCD 12864 screen shield](#) – 24.05 USD
- [DHT22 temperature and humidity sensor](#) – 9.50 USD
- [Gravity pH sensor](#) – 56.95 USD
- [Gravity EC sensor](#) – 69.90 USD
- [Gravity CO2 sensor](#) – 58.00 USD

—

The list above contains all the pieces you need to get this to

work. This includes the Arduino plus an LCD display that we will use to be able to read the information we obtain from the sensors. I have included links to the pieces at the dfrobot site (one of my favorite sources for DIY electronics) but you can definitely get them elsewhere if you prefer. The pH sensor included here is of industrial quality while the EC sensor has a lower quality level. However I have been able to use both for extended periods of time without anything else than a calibration around once every 2 months. If you want you can also purchase an industrial quality EC probe if you find the probe from the included Gravity kit to be insufficient for your needs.

The cool thing about this setup is that the LCD screen already contains all the connections we need for the sensors. The bottom part contains numbered analog inputs while the left part contains numbered digital inputs. In this setup we have two digital sensors – the DHT22 humidity/temperature sensor and the solution temperature sensor that comes with the EC sensor – and three analog sensors, which are pH, EC and CO₂. I have put some text on the image to show you exactly where you should connect the sensors according to the code, make sure the orders of the colors on the wires match the colors on the connector in the LCD screen. The Arduino code contains some defines with the pins for each sensor so you can just change those numbers if you want to connect the sensors in different places.

–

```
//Libraries
#include <DHT.h>;
#include <U8glib.h>
#include <stdio.h>
#include <OneWire.h>
#include <Wire.h>
#include <Arduino.h>
#include <Adafruit_Sensor.h>
```



```

//PINS
#define DHT_PIN          5           // DHT pin
#define DHTTYPE          DHT22      // DHT 22 (AM2302)
#define PH_PIN           2           //pH meter pin
#define CO2_PIN          3           //ORP meter pin
#define EC_PIN           1           //EC meter pin
#define DS18B20_PIN     6           //EC solution temperature
pin

// AVERAGING VALUES
#define MEDIAN_SAMPLE 8
#define MEASUREMENTS_TAKEN 100

// EC - solution temperature variables
#define StartConvert 0
#define ReadTemperature 1

// EC values // CHANGE THESE PARAMETERS FOR EC PROBE
CALIBRATION
#define EC_PARAM_A 0.00754256

//pH values // CHANGE THESE PARAMETERS FOR PH PROBE
CALIBRATION
#define PH_PARAM_A 1.0
#define PH_PARAM_B 0.0

#define XCOL_SET 55
#define XCOL_SET2 65
#define XCOL_SET_UNITS 85

//-----

DHT dht(DHT_PIN, DHTTYPE);
U8GLIB_NHD_C12864 u8g(13, 11, 10, 9, 8);
unsigned long int avgValue;
float b, pHValue;
int buf[MEASUREMENTS_TAKEN],tmp;
int chk;
float hum;
float temp;
unsigned int AnalogAverage = 0,averageVoltage=0;

```

```

float solution_temp,ECcurrent;
unsigned int levelAverage;
float co2;
OneWire ds(DS18B20_PIN);

//-----

void draw() {
    u8g.setFont(u8g_font_04b_03);
    u8g.drawStr( 0,11,"Temp:");
    u8g.setPrintPos(XCOL_SET,11);
    u8g.print(temp);
    u8g.drawStr( XCOL_SET_UNITS, 11,"C" );
    u8g.drawStr(0,21,"Humidity:");
    u8g.setPrintPos(XCOL_SET,21);
    u8g.print(hum);
    u8g.drawStr( XCOL_SET_UNITS,21,"%" );
    u8g.drawStr(0,31,"pH:");
    u8g.setPrintPos(XCOL_SET,31);
    u8g.print(phValue);
    u8g.drawStr(0,41,"EC:");
    u8g.setPrintPos(XCOL_SET,41);
    u8g.print(ECcurrent);
    u8g.drawStr( XCOL_SET_UNITS,41,"mS/cm" );
    u8g.drawStr(0,51,"Sol.Temp:");
    u8g.setPrintPos(XCOL_SET,51);
    u8g.print(solution_temp);
    u8g.drawStr( XCOL_SET_UNITS,51,"C" );
    u8g.drawStr(0,61,"CO2:");
    u8g.setPrintPos(XCOL_SET,61);
    u8g.print(co2);
    u8g.drawStr( XCOL_SET_UNITS,61,"ppm" );
}

float TempProcess(bool ch)
{
    static byte data[12];
    static byte addr[8];
    static float TemperatureSum;
    if(!ch){
        if ( !ds.search(addr) ) {

```

```

        ds.reset_search();
        return 0;
    }
    if ( OneWire::crc8( addr, 7) != addr[7]) {
        return 0;
    }
    if ( addr[0] != 0x10 && addr[0] != 0x28) {
        return 0;
    }
    ds.reset();
    ds.select(addr);
    ds.write(0x44,1);
}
else{
    byte present = ds.reset();
    ds.select(addr);
    ds.write(0xBE);
    for (int i = 0; i < 9; i++) {
        data[i] = ds.read();
    }
    ds.reset_search();
    byte MSB = data[1];
    byte LSB = data[0];
    float tempRead = ((MSB << 8) | LSB);
    TemperatureSum = tempRead / 16;
}

    return TemperatureSum;
}

```

```

void calculateAnalogAverage(int pin){
    AnalogAverage = 0;
    for(int i=0;i<MEASUREMENTS_TAKEN;i++)
    {
        buf[i]=analogRead(pin);
        delay(10);
    }
    for(int i=0;i<MEASUREMENTS_TAKEN-1;i++)
    {
        for(int j=i+1;j<MEASUREMENTS_TAKEN;j++)
        {
            if(buf[i]>buf[j])

```

```

        {
            tmp=buf[i];
            buf[i]=buf[j];
            buf[j]=tmp;
        }
    }
}
avgValue=0;
        for(int i=(MEASUREMENTS_TAKEN/2) -
(MEDIAN_SAMPLE/2);i<(MEASUREMENTS_TAKEN/2)+(MEDIAN_SAMPLE/2);i
++){
    avgValue+=buf[i];
}
AnalogAverage = avgValue/MEDIAN_SAMPLE ;
}

```

```

void read_pH(){
    calculateAnalogAverage(PH_PIN);
    pHValue=(float)AnalogAverage*5.0/1024;
    pHValue=PH_PARAM_A*pHValue+PH_PARAM_B;
}

```

```

void read_EC(){
    calculateAnalogAverage(EC_PIN);
    solution_temp = TempProcess(ReadTemperature);
    TempProcess(StartConvert);
    averageVoltage=AnalogAverage*(float)5000/1024;
    float TempCoefficient=1.0+0.0185*(solution_temp-25.0);
    float
CoefficientVolatge=(float)averageVoltage*TempCoefficient;
    ECcurrent=EC_PARAM_A*CoefficientVolatge;
}

```

```

void read_CO2(){
    float voltage;
    float voltage_difference;
    calculateAnalogAverage(CO2_PIN);
    voltage = AnalogAverage*(5000/1024.0);
    if(voltage == 0)
    {
        co2=-100.0;
    }
}

```

```
}
else if(voltage < 400)
{
  co2=0.0;
}
else
{
  voltage_difference=voltage-400;
  co2=voltage_difference*50.0/16.0;
}
}
```

```
void setup()
{
  pinMode(13,OUTPUT);
  Serial.begin(9600);
  dht.begin();
  u8g.setContrast(0);
  u8g.setRot180();
  TempProcess(StartConvert);
}
```

```
void loop()
{

  digitalWrite(13, HIGH);
  delay(800);
  digitalWrite(13, LOW);
  hum = dht.readHumidity();
  temp= dht.readTemperature();
  read_pH();
  read_EC();
  read_CO2();

  u8g.firstPage();
  do {
    draw();
  }
  while( u8g.nextPage() );
}
```

After you connect the sensors you can then upload the code above using the Arduino IDE to your Arduino via USB. You will need to install the following Arduino libraries to get it to compile and upload:

—

- [AdaFruit unified sensor driver](#)
- [AdaFruit DHT sensor library](#)
- [OneWire library](#)
- [U8glib library](#)

—

After you upload this to your Arduino it should start and show you a screen with the temperature, humidity, pH, EC and carbon dioxide readings. The carbon dioxide concentration might show as -100 in the beginning, which simply means that the sensor is heating up (it requires a few minutes before it can start giving readings).

It is also worth noting that you should calibrate your pH sensor. To do this you should read the pH of a 7.0 buffer (M7) – record the value you get – and then repeat the process with a pH 4.0 buffer (M4). You can then change the PH_PARAM_A and PH_PARAM_B values in the code (right at the beginning) to make the sensor match your measurements. The PH_PARAM_A parameter should be equal to $3/(M7-M4)$ while PH_PARAM_B should be $7-M7*PH_PARAM_A$. If you ever need to recalibrate set PH_PARAM_A to 1 and PH_PARAM_B to 0 and repeat the process. For the EC sensor you should perform a calibration using the 1.412 mS/cm solution that comes with the sensor and then change EC_PARAM_A so that your sensor matches this reading ($1.412/(MEC/0.00754256)$).

With this new monitoring station you should now have a powerful tool to monitor your hydroponic system and make sure everything is where you want it. Of course making the arduino interact with a computer to record these values and then

implementing control mechanisms using fans, peristaltic pumps, water pumps, humidifiers/dehumidifiers and other appliances is the next step in complexity.

A Step Forward : Moving from AllHydroponics to ScienceinHydroponics.com

Through the past few weeks I have been meditating about the current limitations of the blogger platform and how it makes my writing and customization options smaller and the look of my blog less professional. Due to the fact that I intend to start writing more and expanding this blog it becomes evident that I will need a much more powerful blog hosting platform and blogger seems to be limiting instead of helping my efforts in this regards. For this reason I have taken the decision to move my blog from its current blogspot home to a new self-hosted domain which I will use from now on to post new articles and releases of hydrobuddy.

This new website – scienceinhydroponics.com – will be the new home of my blogging effort in the area of hydroponic crop production and research. I will stop posting new articles on blogger and the old blogger website will start redirecting to the new wordpress based blog today. The idea of this new blog is to allow me to customize my website as much as I want and to be able to exploit the full potential of my web presence through the use of a self-hosted domain. In the future I hope that this move forward will make my content more professional and my efforts more worth-while. Future versions of hydrobuddy

will now be released and maintained on the new wordpress blog and the previous blogger implementation will not be maintained anymore.

Of course if you have linked to my old blog the pages will not be deleted but they will cause automatic redirection towards my new domain. However the RSS feed will stop being updated so feel free to subscribe through my new blog's RSS feed (links available on the top right corner of the blog). There are also now several buttons you can use in the bottom of each page to share the contents of the posts on facebook, twitter, etc and a Printer friendly function that will allow you to easily print my blog's contents without any of the menus, etc. I hope that you will greatly enjoy this new blog which is a milestone achievement for me and the start of a new era for me as a much more professional blogger :o)

Feel free to leave any comments or suggestions ! :o)